Inclusive $\overline{B} \to X_u l \overline{\nu}$ spectra by DGE

Einan Gardi (Cambridge)

- - The essential rôle of resummation
 - Dressed Gluon Exponentiation (DGE) vs. shape function
- Recent progress and prospects for inclusive $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu}$ spectral
 - Power corrections in the DGE approach implemented in the new release
 - NNLO corrections The $O(\beta_0 \alpha_s^2)$ corrections to the fully differential width have been computed Gambino, Gardi & Ridolfi [hep-ph/0610140]
- Lessons from $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ DGE@NNLO analysis Andersen & Gardi [hep-ph/0609250]

World Average $|V_{ub}|$ using DGE

- CLEO, Belle & BaBar have performed several inclusive measurements of the partial $b \rightarrow u$ BF with different kinematic cuts on E_l , q^2 , M_X , etc.
- March '06: each measurement was translated by *HFAG* into a value for |V_{ub}| using DGE.
 - HFAG update: July '06
- The results are all consistent.
- The uncertainty is the smallest obtained so far.



$\overline{B} \to X_s \gamma$: DGE vs. the fixed-order expansion



Inclusive $\bar{B} \to X_u l \bar{\nu}$ spectra by Dressed Gluon Exponentiation

Inclusive decays spectra are IR & Collinear safe:

- Infrared cutoff and leading–power shape function are <u>not</u> necessary.
- All non-perturbative effects can be taken into account as power corrections in moment space.
- Large perturbative corrections are associate with
 - Sudakov logarithms
 - running–coupling effects (renormalons)
 - Both are resummed by DGE.
- General relation between the divergence of the perturbative expansion (renormalons) and infrared sensitivity (power corrections).
- In inclusive decays: resummation reveals that IR & Collinear safety extends beyond the logarithmic level!
 - Non-perturbative effects of the quark distribution first appear at $\mathcal{O}\left((N\Lambda/m_b)^3\right)$.
 - DGE spectrum without any power corrections is already a good approximation.
 - Given the known parametric dependence, power corrections can be effectively parametrized and quantified.

Factorization and DGE



** power corrections distinguishing $S(m_b/N;\mu)$ (the quark distribution in the meson) from $S_{\rm PT}(m_b/N;\mu)$ (the quark distribution in an on-shell quark) are parametrized.

DGE:

Dressed Gluon Exponentiation:	Hard:		<u>Jet:</u>		Quark Distribution:
(moment Space)	m_b	\gg	m_b/\sqrt{N}	\gg	m_b/N
(moment space)	calculated		calculated		calculated+p.c.**

** power corrections distinguishing $S(m_b/N;\mu)$ (the quark distribution in the meson) from $S_{\rm PT}(m_b/N;\mu)$ (the quark distribution in an on-shell quark) are parametrized.

Cutoff-based "Shape Function" approach (BLNP):

"Shape Function" approach:	Hard:	Hard:			Quark Distribution:
(momentum Space)	m_b >	\gg	$\sqrt{m_b\Lambda}$	\gg	Λ
(momentum space)	calculated		calculated		parametrized*

* the <u>entire</u> leading-power quark distribution in the meson is parameterized.

Resummed perturbation theory (on-shell heavy quark) yields:

$$\operatorname{Sud}(p^{-}, N) = \exp\left\{\frac{C_{F}}{\beta_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{p^{-}}\right)^{2u} \left[\underbrace{B_{\mathcal{S}}(u) \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)\Gamma(-2u)}{\Gamma(N-2u)} + \frac{1}{2u}\right)}_{\operatorname{Quark Distribution:} N^{2u}} - \underbrace{B_{\mathcal{J}}(u) \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)\Gamma(-u)}{\Gamma(N-u)} + \frac{1}{u}\right)}_{\operatorname{Jet:} N^{u}} \right] \right\}$$

Renormalon ambiguities indicate power corrections $(N\Lambda/p^{-})^{k}$ in the exponent!

- u = 1/2 renormalon ambiguity <u>cancels</u> with the pole mass renormalon.
- **9** u = 1 renormalon is missing $(B_{\mathcal{S}}(1) = 0)$.
- $u \ge 3/2$ ambiguities are present in the on-shell decay spectrum.
- To approximate the quark distribution in the **meson**: introduce one non-perturbative parameter $f_{\rm PV}$ to parametrize $(N\Lambda/p^{-})^k$ corrections for $k \geq 3$:

$$\operatorname{Sud}(p^{-}, N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sud}(p^{-}, N) \Big|_{\operatorname{PV}} \times \left\{ \frac{C_F}{\beta_0} \pi f_{\operatorname{PV}} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k \, k!} B_{\mathcal{S}}(k/2) \left(\frac{\Lambda}{p^{-}}\right)^k \prod_{j=1}^k (N-j) \right\},$$

The Sudakov factor (beyond fixed logarithmic accuracy)



– p. 8/*

Power corrections in the DGE approach



NNLO corrections



- significant reduction of uncertainty along the tail upon including $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ corrections (was done for O_7).
- renormalization scale in the matching coefficient in $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$: $\mu \sim m_b/2$ stability NLO \rightarrow NNLO
- $\beta_0 \alpha_s^2$ corrections dominate the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ contribution to inclusive decays (both within the Sudakov region and out of it).
- The $\beta_0 \alpha_s^2$ corrections (as well as $\beta_0^n \alpha_s^{n+1}$ for any *n*) are now available for the fully differential $\bar{B} \to X_u l \bar{\nu}$ width.
 Gambino, Gardi & Ridolfi [hep-ph/0610140].
- Matching to $\mathcal{O}(\beta_0 \alpha_s^2)$ will soon be included in the DGE code.
- Estimated variation of $R_{\rm cut} = {\rm BF}(M_X < 1.7 \,{\rm GeV})/{\rm BF}({\rm total})$ due to NNLO corrections: $\pm 6\%$

DGE provides a systematic and effective framework to compute B-meson decay spectra.

Status of DGE-based experimental studies:

- ↓ V_{ub} | has been determined from all available $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l\bar{\nu}$ BF measurements with a variety of cuts: consistent values; small error.
- Agrees well with $\overline{B} \to X_s \gamma$ moments as a function of the cut; Fits to $\overline{B} \to X_u l \overline{\nu}$ moments or spectrum have not yet been done (Weak Annihilation).

Recent Progress:

- New way to include and constrain(!) power corrections
 - New release of the DGE code is available for both $\overline{B} \to X_s \gamma$ and $\overline{B} \to X_u l \overline{\nu}$.
 - Fits to data are necessary to determine m_b , $C_{3/2}$ and f_{PV} .
- Available NNLO $\beta_0 \alpha_s^2$ corrections for the fully differential $\bar{B} \to X_u l \bar{\nu}$ width. Prospects:
 - Matching to $\mathcal{O}(\beta_0 \alpha_s^2)$: reduction of theoretical uncertainty on $|V_{ub}|$ from any experimental cut.