

## Semileptonic B decays at Tevatron



- Introduction
- Tevatron w/ CDF & DØ Detectors
- B decays into orbitally excited D\*\*
- D\*\* mass & width
- moment analysis
- B<sub>s</sub> decays into orbitally excited D<sub>s</sub>\*\*
- Summary

Frank Lehner U Zurich/DESY CKM 2006 Nagoya Dec 11 - 16, 2006





# Tevatron performance



- excellent performance of Tevatron in 2006
- machine delivered more than 2fb<sup>-1</sup> up to now !!
- recorded (DØ/CDF)
  - ~1.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - record (initial) luminosity of 2.3×10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>/s
  - high data taking efficiency ~85%
- current Run II dataset reconstructed and under analysis
  - ~1400 pb<sup>-1</sup>
  - compare with ~100 pb<sup>-1</sup> Run I



# Detectors: CDF & DØ



### CDF

- Silicon Tracker SVX
  - up to |η|<2.0
  - SVX fast r readout for trigger
- Drift Chamber
  - 96 layers in |η|<1
  - particle ID with dE/dx
- tracking immersed in Solenoid 1.4T
- DØ
  - 2T Solenoid
  - hermetic forward & central muon detectors, excellent coverage |η|<2</li>
  - scintillating fiber tracker
  - four layer silicon detector
  - since summer 2006 new layer 0









# Charm and bottom production at Tevatron



- bb cross section orders of magnitude larger than at B-factories Y(4S) or Z
- all kinds of b hadrons produced:
  - B<sub>d</sub>, B<sub>s</sub>, B<sub>c</sub>, B\*\*, Λ<sub>b</sub>, Σ<sub>b</sub>, Ξ<sub>b</sub>, ...
- charm cross section even higher, about 80-90% promptly produced
- However:
  - QCD background overwhelming, bhadrons hidden in 10<sup>3</sup> larger background
  - events complicated, efficient trigger and reliable tracking necessary
- crucial for bottom and charm physics program:
  - good vertexing & tracking
  - triggers w/ large bandwidth, strong background rejection
  - muon system w/ good coverage

e.g., integrated cross sections for |y|<1:  $\sigma(D^{0}, p_{T} \ge 5.5 \text{ GeV/c}) \sim 13 \text{ }\mu\text{b}$   $\sigma(B^{+}, p_{T} \ge 6 \text{ GeV/c}) \sim 4 \text{ }\mu\text{b}$ 





## Triggers for bottom & charm physics



- "classical" triggers:
  - robust & quiet di- and single-lepton triggers, e.g. muon p<sub>t</sub>(μ)>1.5GeV
  - working horse for masses, lifetimes, xsection, rare decays etc. (J/Ψ modes down to p<sub>t</sub>~0)
  - key to B physics program at DØ
- "advanced" triggers:
- using Si-vertex detectors exploit long lifetime of heavy quarks
  - displaced tráck + leptons for semileptonic modes
  - two-track trigger (CDF) all hadronic mode
    - two oppositely charged tracks with impact parameter
    - fully reconstructed hadronic modes, 2-body charmless B decays etc.
    - charm physics etc.

p<sub>⊤</sub>(B)≥5 GeV L<sub>×v</sub>≥450 μm



Decay length  $L_{xy}$ 





- Both detectors collect large samples on semileptonic decays of
  - **B**<sup>±</sup>, **B**<sup>0</sup>, **B**<sub>s</sub>, **B**<sub>c</sub>,  $\Lambda_{b}$

D

- allows plethora of measurements
  - branching ratios, x-sections, fragmentation functions
  - lifetimes and lifetime ratios
  - mixing, CP violation, charge asymmetries etc.

This talk is mainly on semileptonic  $B_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(**)}$ lv decays

Candidates per 50  $\mu$ m 400 pb<sup>-1</sup>  $\gamma^{2}/dof = 1.06$ 10 10\_0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.3 Pseudo Proper Decay Length (cm)  $B_s \to \mu \nu_\mu D_s(\phi \pi)$ DØ Run II 🔨 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> Se000 DØ Run II 🔥 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> 1200 (b) (a) Events/(0.01 5000 5000 800 400 tagged 2.0 1.8 1.9 2.0 1.8 1.9 *М*<sub>(КК)π</sub> [GeV] *М<sub>(КК)π</sub>* [GeV] 26,710±556 untagged D. 5,601±102 tagged D.

 $B_{s} \rightarrow D_{s}\mu\nu X$ 

## short glance at other results (that are not always shown...)

NLO J/WX (1.96 TeV) measure absolute (& differential) cross section  $d\sigma/dp_T(B^+)$  (nb/GeV/c) B<sup>±</sup>-> J/Ψ K<sup>±</sup>  $J/\psi K^{+}(1.8 \text{ TeV})$  $10^{2}$ σ<sub>B±</sub> (pT>6 GeV/c, |y|<1)=(2.65±0.23)µb agrees with other measurement and with FONLL fragmentation functions needed for p<sub>T</sub> (GeV/c) CDF Runll Preliminary, 360 pb measurement of ratios of BR Large sample lepton + charm, disentangle feedf<sub>..</sub>+f PDG down from D\*\* using MC Need good understanding of reconstruction CD  $\frac{f_s}{f_s+f_s}$ efficiencies (data/MC agreement) 0.3 0.5 0.6 04 CDF RunII Preliminary, 360 pb CDF RunII Preliminary, 360 pb CDF RunII Preliminary, 360 pb  $N_{RS}(\mu\Lambda_{c}) = 2984 \pm 130$   $N_{RS}(\mu\Lambda_{c}) = 2984 \pm 130$  $N_{BS}(\mu D^+) = 20236 \pm 216$  $N_{BS}(\mu D_s) = 2069 \pm 84$ — RS 600 <sup>|</sup> WS WS D. Reflection 500 400





200

2.22 2.24 2.26 2.28 2.30 2.32 2.34

mass(pKπ) [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]



Orbitally excited D\*\*



- orbitally excited states D\*\* are Pwave excitation of charm quark and light (anti-) quark
- total angular momentum: J=j<sub>q</sub>+s<sub>Q</sub> with j<sub>q</sub>=L+s<sub>q</sub>
- in heavy quark limit  $m_Q \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ : spin s<sub>Q</sub> of heavy quark decouples from other d.o.f => four P-wave states separate into mass degenerated pairs with j<sub>q</sub>=1/2 and j<sub>q</sub>=3/2
- systematic treatment in heavy quark effective theory: m<sub>Q</sub>-dependent hyperfine splitting within each doublet, as well as average mass splitting between doublets









Orbitally excited D\*\*



- j<sub>q</sub>=1/2 states (J<sup>P</sup>=0+, 1+)
  - D<sub>0</sub>\* and D<sub>1</sub>' expected to decay in an overall S-wave configuration
  - broad width O(100) MeV
- j<sub>q</sub>=3/2 states (J<sup>P</sup>=1+, 2+)
  - D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>\* expected to decay in final states D\*π with overall D-wave configuration
  - narrow width O(10) MeV
- ratio R=BR(B->D<sub>2</sub>\* Iv)/BR(B->D<sub>1</sub> Iv)
  - test for HQET
- narrow orbitally excited D\*\* states have been seen by ARGUS, E687, FOCUS, HERA, CLEO, LEP-Exp., BELLE, CDF/D0



DØ: BR(B->D\*\*µvX) CDF: D\*\* mass and width



## B -> D\*\*µvX Signal Selection

- DØ: use 460 pb-1 of data to select B-> D<sub>1,2</sub>\* μvX events with suite of muon triggers
- decay chain: D<sub>1,2</sub>\* -> D\*- π<sup>+</sup> , D\*- -> D<sup>0</sup> π<sup>-</sup> , D<sup>0</sup> -> Kπ
- selection
  - start with event sample  $\mu$  D<sup>0</sup> + c.c. (~217k events)
  - combine with additional  $\pi$  track of different charge than  $\mu$  to select D\* (~55k events)
  - suppression of cc by proper decay length significance cuts of the B meson (31k D\*events)
  - in those events combine D\* and  $\pi$  to D\*\*
- interpret observed mass peak as two merged narrow D\*\* states
  - fit signal with two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions convoluted with detector response
  - background as 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial. Fixed [PDG] M(D<sub>2</sub>\*)-M(D<sub>1</sub>) and widths





## Semileptonic BR(B->D\*\*µvX)

Normalizing to known BR(b -> D\*-µ+vX):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \to B) \times \mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D}^{**} \mu^+ \nu_{\mu} X) \times \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}^{**} \to D^{*-} \pi^+) &= \mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \to D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu X) \frac{N_{D^{**}}}{N_{D^*}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{D^{**}}}; \\ &\frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D}^{*0}_2 \mu^+ \nu_{\mu} X) \times \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}^{*0}_2 \to D^{*-} \pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D}^0_1 \mu^+ \nu_{\mu} X) \times \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}^0_1 \to D^{*-} \pi^+)} &= \frac{N_{D^*_2}}{N_{D_1}} \frac{\epsilon_{D_1}}{\epsilon_{D^*_2}}. = 0.39 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.12 \end{aligned}$$

• Assuming  $D_1 (D_2^*)$  decays in  $D^*\pi$  to 100% (30±6 %):  $BR(B \rightarrow \overline{D}_1^0 l^+ vX) = (0.33 \pm 0.06)\%$ 

differs from PDG value: (0.74±0.16)%

$$BR(B \to \overline{D}^{*0}_{2} l^+ vX) = (0.44 \pm 0.16)\%$$

 $R=BR(B->D_2*Iv)/BR(B->D_1Iv)=1.31\pm0.29\pm0.47$ 

Consistent with PDG upper limit of 0.65%

PRL95, 171803 (2005).

R equal to 1.6 (HQET) if  $m_c \rightarrow \infty$ 

# Mass & Width D\*\*



- CDF: 210 pb<sup>-1</sup> of data collected with two-track trigger
- observe both,  $D_1^0$  and  $D_2^{*0}$  decaying into  $D^{*+}\pi^-$
- observe  $D_2^{*0}$  decaying into  $D^+\pi^-$ 
  - corresponding D<sub>1</sub><sup>0</sup> decay forbidden by parity and angular momentum conservation
  - Feed-down decays D\*\* -> D\*+π- with D\*+->D+π<sup>0</sup>, where π<sup>0</sup> unobserved
- simultaneous fit to mass difference
  - signal terms for narrow D\*\* (BW⊗resolution term)
  - Background term
  - broad state (BS) terms (included)





# Mass and Width D\*\*

CDF Results (adding PDG mass information D\*, D<sup>+</sup>):

M(D<sub>1</sub>)=2421.7±0.7±0.6 MeV

Γ(D<sub>1</sub>)=20.0±1.7±1.3 MeV

M(D<sub>2</sub>\*)=2463.3±0.6±0.8 MeV

Γ(D<sub>2</sub>\*)= 49.2 ±2.3 ±1.3 MeV

best single mass measurement !!

PRD73 (2006) 051104

*	Δ	lsgur [6]
*	Δ	Ebert [5]
*	Δ	Lewis [4]
•	Δ	Di Pierro [3]
*	Δ	Kalashnikova [2]
<b>└───</b> •	<b></b>	E 691 [14]
·•		ARGUS [9]
<b></b>	но	CLEO 90 [13]
<b>⊢</b> ●1		E687 [10]
	нон	Focus [11]
<b></b>		CLEO II [12]
•••	ц	PDG 2004
<b>⊢</b> ∎⊣	но	Belle 2004 [15]
iei	ю	CDF RunII
	2450	
	E	D Mass (MeV/c²)

- V<sub>cb</sub> determined from incl. semileptonic decay width into charm
- F<sub>theory</sub> related through OPE in HQET: Expansion in inverse powers of m<sub>B</sub> with free parameters
- same expansion holds for other inclusive observables such as recoil hadronic mass (s<sub>H</sub>=M<sup>2</sup><sub>Xc</sub>) distribution => define moments M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>
- B<sup>-</sup>->X<sub>c</sub>l<sup>-</sup>v split in three contributions corresponding to X<sub>c</sub>=D<sup>0</sup>, D\*<sup>0</sup>, D\*\*<sup>0</sup>
  - D<sup>0</sup> and D\* well known, concentrate on recoil mass distribution in the D\*\* channel
- Strategy: measure D\*\*<sup>0</sup> spectrum, correct for background & acceptance
  - determine moments  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  of D\*\*
  - use world-avarage values of  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_{sl}$  (D<sup>0</sup>),  $\Gamma_*/\Gamma_{sl}$  (D<sup>\*0</sup>) from PDG
  - extract expansion parameters

$$\int_{a}^{s_{H}^{max}} d\Gamma \left(1 - \frac{2}{2}\right) \frac{1}{d\Gamma_{sl}} d\Gamma_{sl}$$

 $\Gamma_{sl}(b \to c\ell^{-}\overline{v}) = \frac{BR(b \to c\ell^{-}\overline{v}_{\ell})}{\tau_{b}} = |V_{cb}|^{2} \times F_{theory}$ 

$$M_1 = \int_{s_H^{min}}^{s_H} ds_H \left( s_H - \overline{m}_D^2 \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma_{sl}} \frac{d\Gamma_{sl}}{ds_H} ,$$
  
$$M_2 = \int_{s_H^{min}}^{s_H^{max}} ds_H \left( s_H - \langle s_H \rangle \right)^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma_{sl}} \frac{d\Gamma_{sl}}{ds_H} ,$$



- limited detection capabilities for neutrals
- B<sup>0</sup>->D\*\*-I\*v -> leads to neutrals => ignore
- B<sup>-</sup>->D\*\*<sup>0</sup>I<sup>-</sup>v -> better
- must reconstruct all channels to get the D\*\*<sup>0</sup>, use isospin factors for neutral modes
- reconstruct lepton +  $D^{(*)+}$ , add  $\pi^{**}$  track
- most important backgrounds:
  - combinatorial background under D<sup>(\*)+</sup> peaks sideband subtracted
  - prompt tracks that fake  $\pi^{**}$  use wrong sign sample  $\pi^{**+|}$  to subtract
  - feed-down in signal from D\*+ -> D+π<sup>0</sup> subtracted using data, shape from D<sup>0</sup>π- and rate~<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>x(isospin)x(eff)x(BR)





(Br=13.0%)



#### D<sup>(\*)+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> after background subtraction and efficiency and acceptance correction

- determine mean and variance of D\*\* squared recoil mass
- calculate then full moments of hadronic mass-squared distribution by combining with D and D\* world-averages

$$\begin{split} M_1 &\equiv \langle M_{X_c}^2 \rangle - \overline{m}_D^2 = \\ & (0.467 \pm 0.038_{\rm stat} \pm 0.019_{\rm exp} \pm 0.065_{\rm BR}) \ {\rm GeV}^2/c^4 \\ M_2 &\equiv \langle (M_{X_c}^2 - \langle M_{X_c}^2 \rangle)^2 \rangle = \\ & (1.05 \pm 0.26_{\rm stat} \pm 0.08_{\rm exp} \pm 0.10_{\rm BR}) \ {\rm GeV}^4/c^8 \ , \end{split}$$

# allows then to extract Be non-perturbative expansion parameters $\Lambda$ and $\lambda_1$ in pole- and 15 scheme

### CDF, 180 pb-1 Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 051103



69% positive correlation Between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ 



#### Pole mass scheme

Λ	=	$(0.397 \pm 0.078_{stat} \pm 0.027_{exp} \pm 0.064_{BR} \pm 0.058_{theo})$ GeV
$\lambda_1$	=	$(-0.184 \pm 0.057_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.017_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.022_{\text{BR}} \pm 0.077_{\text{theo}}) \text{ GeV}^2$

#### 15 mass scheme

$m_b^{1S}$	=	$(4.654 \pm 0.078_{stat} \pm 0.027_{exp} \pm 0.064_{BR} \pm 0.089_{theo})$ GeV
$\lambda_1^{1S}$	=	$(-0.277 \pm 0.049_{stat} \pm 0.017_{exp} \pm 0.022_{BR} \pm 0.094_{theo}) \ { m GeV}^2$

### within HQET in agreement with previous measurements, accuracy compatible

CD	F, 180	pb-1
PRD71 (	(2005)	051103

Error	$\Delta m_1$	$\Delta m_2$	$\Delta M_1$	$\Delta M_2$	$\Delta \overline{\Lambda}$	$\Delta \lambda_1$
	$(\text{GeV}^2/c^4)$	$(\text{GeV}^4/c^8)$	$(\text{GeV}^2/c^4)$	$(\text{GeV}^4/c^8)$	$(\mathrm{GeV})$	$(GeV^2)$
Statistical	0.16	0.69	0.038	0.26	0.078	0.057
Total systematic	0.08	0.22	0.068	0.13	0.091	0.082
Mass resolution	0.02	0.13	0.005	0.04	0.012	0.009
Efficiency (data)	0.03	0.13	0.006	0.05	0.014	0.011
Efficiency and acceptance (MC)	0.06	0.05	0.016	0.03	0.017	0.006
Background scale	0.01	0.03	0.002	0.01	0.003	0.002
Background bias	0.02	0.10	0.004	0.03	0.006	0.006
Physics background	0.01	0.02	0.002	0.01	0.004	0.002
$D^+/D^{*+}$ branching ratios	0.01	0.02	0.002	0.01	0.004	0.002
$D^+/D^{*+}$ efficiency	0.02	0.03	0.004	0.01	0.005	0.002
${\cal B}$ semileptonic branching ratios			0.065	0.10	0.064	0.022
$\rho_1$				— (	0.041	0.069
$T_i$					0.032	0.031
$\alpha_s$					0.018	0.007
$m_b, m_c$					0.001	0.008
Choice of $p_l^*$ cut					0.019	0.009



# Semileptonic decays of B<sub>s</sub> into D<sub>s</sub>\*\*



- makes up a significant fraction of B<sub>s</sub> semileptonic decays, hence important when
  - comparing inclusive and exclusive decays
  - extracting CKM elements
  - using decays in mixing analysis
- most of available phase space is at zero recoil -> useful for HQET tests
- important to develop more understanding in light of discoveries which don't agree with predictions DsJ(2317), DsJ(2460), DsJ(2632)-Selex

...

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B}_{s} &\to \mathbf{D}_{s1}^{+}(2536)\mu^{-}\nu \\ &\mathbf{D}_{s1}^{+} \to \mathbf{D}^{+*}\mathbf{K}_{s}(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) \\ &\mathbf{D}^{+*} \to \mathbf{D}^{0}\pi^{+} \\ &\mathbf{D}^{0} \to \mathbf{K}\pi \end{split}$$





 $B_{s} \rightarrow D_{s1} (2536) \mu^{+} vX$ 

- use 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of DØ data, mostly single-muon triggered
- start with D\* selection:
  - requiring D<sup>0</sup> and  $\mu$  in event, additional  $\pi$  with  $p_t(\pi)$ >0.18 GeV
  - D\*μ decay length significance L/σ(L)>1 to reduce ccbar contribution
  - signal fit: double gaussian; BG: exp.+polynomial+threshold cut

### D<sub>s</sub>\*\* selection:

- require D\* and K<sub>s</sub> with p<sub>t</sub>(K<sub>s</sub>)>1 GeV and K<sub>s</sub> decay length > 0.5 cm
- invariant mass  $\mu$ -D<sub>s</sub>\*\* < m(B<sub>s</sub>)
- signal fit: double gaussian; BG: exp.+polynomial & threshold cut

N<sub>D\*</sub> = 82130±463 N<sub>Ds\*\*</sub> = 43.8±8.3

D<sub>s1</sub> mass: 2535.7±0.5(stat)±0.6(sys)

 $5.3\sigma$  significance





B<sub>s</sub>->D<sub>s1</sub><sup>-</sup>(2536) μ⁺vX

Determine Br normalizing to known value Br(b->D\*µvX)=(2.75±0.19)%

$$\begin{split} f(\bar{b} \to B_s^0) \cdot Br(B_s^0 \to D_{s1}^-(2536)\mu^+\nu X) \cdot Br(D_{s1}^-(2536) \to D^{*-}K_S^0) = \\ Br(\bar{b} \to D^{*-}\mu^+\nu X) \cdot \frac{N_{D_{s1}(2536)}}{N_{D^*\mu}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon(\bar{b} \to D^*\mu)}{\epsilon(B_s^0 \to D_{s1}\mu \to D^*\mu)} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon_{K_s^0}} \end{split}$$

- f(b->B<sub>s</sub>)=0.107±0.011 is fraction that b (anti-)quark hadronizes to a Bs
- $\epsilon_{K_S}$  efficiency in signal channel to additionally reconstruct & vertex  $K_S$  to form a D\_s^\*\*, once D\* and  $\mu$  are found
- Use MC to look at specific major decays to D\*μ, such as B<sub>d</sub>->D\*μν, B<sub>d</sub>->D\*\*μν, B<sup>+</sup>->D\*\*<sup>+</sup> μν, B<sub>s</sub>->D\*μν to determine ε(b->D\*μ)=(6.1±0.5)%
- MC reweighted to match  $p_t(B_s)$  distribution in data



## $B_s \rightarrow D_{s1} (2536) \mu^+ vX$ results

- Putting the numbers together:
- $f(b \rightarrow B_s) \times BR(B_s \rightarrow D_{s1} (2536) \mu^+ \nu X) \times BR(D_{s1} \rightarrow D^+ K_s) = (2.29 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-4}$
- Using f(b->B<sub>s</sub>) = 0.107±0.011 and assuming BR(D<sub>s1</sub>-->D\*-K<sub>s</sub>)=0.25 (isospin arguments):

Source	$Br(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_{s1}(2536) \mu \nu)$
This Result	(0.86±0.16(stat.)±0.13(syst)±0.09(prod. frac.))%
ISGW2	0.53%
RQM	0.39%
HQET & QCD sum rules	0.195%

first BR-Measurement of a semileptonic  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{**}$  decay



 $BR(B_{c} \rightarrow D_{c}^{(*)} D_{s}^{(*)})$ 

- mainly CP-even eigenstate => measure  $\Delta\Gamma_{CP}$
- reconstruct  $D_s \rightarrow \Phi \pi$  and  $D_s \rightarrow \Phi \mu v$ , with  $\Phi \rightarrow KK$
- start with µD<sub>s</sub> (D<sub>s</sub>->Φπ) sample and look then for additional Φ ->KK (µD<sub>s</sub>Φ)-sample
- ratio of efficiencies estimated using simulated events
- directly fit D<sub>s</sub> mass distribution to extract
  - N(µD<sub>s</sub>)=15225±310
  - N(µD<sub>s</sub>Φ)=19.3±7.9 unbinned likelihood
- normalize to B<sub>s</sub>->D<sub>s</sub>\*μν

•  $BR(B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(\star)} D_s^{(\star)})=0.071\pm0.032\pm0.027$ 





Dominant sources of systematic uncertainties: BR(Bs->D<sub>s</sub>\* $\mu$ v), MC p<sub>t</sub> reweighting



## Conclusions



- Tevatron is a B-factory complementary to ete- annihilation machines
- semileptonic B decays into orbitally excited D\*\*
  - DØ: BR's of B -> (D<sub>1</sub>,D<sub>2</sub>\*) | v X significant improvement of knowledge for B -> D\*\* decays
  - CDF: mass & width of D\*\* best mass measurements
- CDF: first analysis of hadronic moments for B->D\*\* in difficult environment
- first BR measurement of  $B_s \rightarrow D_{s1}(2536)\mu v$
- most results are statistics limited, using <1fb<sup>-1</sup> in analysis
- Tevatron is steadily increasing statistics many more exciting results to come

Evidence for Production of Single Top Quarks at DØ and A First Direct Measurement of |V<sub>tb</sub>|

> Dugan O'Neil For the DØ Collaboration

> > Dec. 8, 2006



Simon Fraser University

Fermilab, Wine & Cheese Seminar, Dec 8 2006







 $B_{s} \rightarrow D_{s1} (2536) \mu^{+} vX$ 

### The effect of various sources of systematic error were measured:

Source		Systematic error
Normalizing Br	Br(b→ D <sup>*</sup> μX)	6.9%
N(D*μ)	Signal Modeling	0.5%
	Background Modeling	1.3%
	ccbar Contribution	2.7%
N(D <sub>s1</sub> (2536))	Signal Modeling	3.0%
	Background Modeling	4.6%
<sup>٤</sup> Ks	MC Statistics	2.8%
	Semileptonic decay model	1.2%
	Weighting Procedure	2.4%
	Detector Modeling	4.0%
R <sup>gen</sup> <sub>D</sub> ∗	MC stats, PDG Br, and f uncertainties	8.2%
	Weighting Procedure	7.4%
	Semileptonic Decay Model	0.9%